UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Arturo Reyes-Soto	Case Number: <u>08-6143M</u>
was repres	ance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S sented by counsel. I conclude by a pr dant pending trial in this case.	.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 5/15/08. Defendant was present and eponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention of
I find hy a	preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
i iiia by a		of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
× ×		he charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
×	If released herein, the defer	ndant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
	The defendant has no significa	ant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resource to assure his/her future appear	es in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated rance.
×	The defendant has a prior crim	inal history.
	The defendant lives/works in N	Mexico.
	The defendant is an amnesty substantial family ties to Mexic	applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failur	e to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to ev	ade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a max	imum of years imprisonment.
Th at the time 1. 2.	of the hearing in this matter, except There is a serious risk that the No condition or combination of	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
a correctio appeal. TI of the Unit	ne defendant is committed to the cust ons facility separate, to the extent prac ne defendant shall be afforded a reas ed States or on request of an attorne to the United States Marshal for the	rody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in ticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending onable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a courty for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. PEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT deliver a c Court.	IS ORDERED that should an appeal	of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to eration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
IT Services s	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a rel sufficiently in advance of the hearing the potential third party custodian.	ease to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
D	ATED this 16 th day of May, 2	2008.
		San

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge